

Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of a 5-6-5 Imidazole-Phenyl-Thiazole Based α -Helix Mimetic


Christopher G. Cummings, Nathan T. Ross, William P. Katt,
and Andrew D. Hamilton*

Department of Chemistry, Yale University, P.O. Box 20810,
New Haven, Connecticut 06520

andrew.hamilton@yale.edu

Received October 3, 2008

ABSTRACT



The development of small molecules that disrupt protein–protein interactions is a key goal in addressing a number of disease states. The α -helix is commonly found at protein interaction interfaces and has been the focus of substantial small molecule mimetic efforts. One of the primary drawbacks of many small molecule α -helix mimetics is their hydrophobic core structures. To address this problem we have developed a novel scaffold based on a more water soluble 5-6-5 imidazole-phenyl-thiazole core. An inhibitor of this class has been shown to disrupt the Cdc42/Dbp protein–protein interaction at micromolar concentrations and may be useful in overcoming Cdc42-induced tumor resistance to anticancer therapies.

Protein–protein interactions have been implicated in numerous disease states including HIV and cancer, making them important targets for disruption by small molecules.¹ α -Helices are common protein secondary structure elements and are often responsible for recognition between proteins via one helical face where interacting residues occupy predominantly the i , $i + 3$ or $i + 4$, and $i + 7$ positions. Accordingly, these surfaces are critical targets for small molecule mimicry. In an effort to disrupt α -helix mediated

protein–protein interactions, we have reported several small molecules that act as structural and functional mimetics of α -helices.² Often the binding hot spots targeted by α -helix mimetics lie in hydrophobic clefts that are solvent-exposed when the two interacting proteins are not associated.³ We have previously focused on scaffolds that rely on six-membered rings (either aromatic or hydrogen-bonded) to maintain their proper orientation.¹ Earlier α -helix mimetics, such as those based on terpyridine^{2a} and terphenyl^{2b} scaffolds are inherently disadvantaged because of poor solubility, whereas others based on terephthalamide^{2c} and trispyridylamide^{2d} scaffolds, rely on hydrogen-bonding networks to maintain their correct orientation. Recent work by Rebek and König has begun to address the demand for more water soluble α -helix mimetics, by making one side of the scaffold

(1) For a review, see: Davis, J. M.; Tsou, L. K.; Hamilton, A. D. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, 36, 326–334.

(2) (a) Davis, J. M.; Truong, A.; Hamilton, A. D. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, 7, 5405–5408. (b) Yin, H.; Lee, G. I.; Sedey, K. A.; Kutzki, O.; Park, H. S.; Omer, B. P.; Ernst, J. Y.; Wang, H. G.; Sebt, S. M.; Hamilton, A. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 10191–10196. (c) Yin, H.; Lee, G. I.; Sedey, K. A.; Rodriguez, J. M.; Wang, H. G.; Sebt, S. M.; Hamilton, A. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, 127, 5463–5468. (d) Ernst, J. T.; Becerril, J.; Park, H. S.; Yin, H.; Hamilton, A. D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, 42, 535–539.

(3) Fletcher, S.; Hamilton, A. D. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **2006**, 3, 215–233.

hydrophilic^{4a,b} or via a 1,4-dipiperazino benzene scaffold.^{4c} Our design focuses on two variations of a novel 5-6-5 imidazole-phenyl-thiazole scaffold that replaces six-membered aromatic end units with water-soluble five-membered heterocyclic groups.

Using this novel class of α -helix mimetics, we have chosen to target a protein–protein interaction governed largely by hydrophilic contacts, that between Cdc42 (cell division cycle 42) and Dbs (Dbl's big sister).⁵ Cdc42 is a GTPase (guanine nucleotide triphosphatase) shown to mediate cancer cell resistance to both cytotoxic therapies and cytotoxic T-lymphocyte induced tumor suppression.⁶ Cdc42 has also been linked to diabetes and cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases.⁷ However, Cdc42 is only capable of these aberrant activities in its activated, GTP-bound, form.⁷ Cdc42 is activated by interaction with the GEF (guanine nucleotide exchange factor), Dbs. We chose to target the Cdc42–Dbs interaction in an effort to block the most upstream event involved in Cdc42-related disease. In addition, to the best of our knowledge, no rationally designed small molecule inhibitors of this protein–protein interaction currently exist. The interaction between these proteins is mediated by the Q770, K774, and L777 residues of Dbs, which correspond to the i , $i + 4$, and $i + 7$ of a key Dbs α -helix, making it an appropriate target for our mimetics (Figure 1c).⁵ Herein we





Figure 1. (a) Energy minimized polyalanine α -helix displaying i , $i + 4$, and $i + 7$ positions. (b) Energy minimized structure of **4**. (c) Overlay of energy minimized **4** onto targeted region of Dbs, residues Q770, K774, and L777.

detail the design and synthesis of a 5-6-5 imidazole-phenyl-thiazole based, water soluble α -helix mimetic of these key residues.


- (4) (a) Volonterio, A.; Moisan, L.; Rebek, J., Jr *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3733–3736. (b) Biros, S. M.; Moisan, L.; Mann, E.; Carella, A.; Zhai, D.; Reed, J. C.; Rebek, J., Jr *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2007**, *17*, 4641–4645. (c) Maity, P.; König, B. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 1473–1476.
- (5) Rossman, K. L.; Worthylake, D. K.; Snyder, J. T.; Siderovski, D. P.; Campbell, S. L.; Sondek, J. *EMBO J.* **2002**, *21*, 1315–1326.
- (6) Marques, C. A.; Hahnel, P. S.; Wolfel, C.; Thaler, S.; Huber, C.; Theobald, M.; Schuler, M. *Blood* **2008**, *111*, 1413–1419.
- (7) Sinha, S.; Yang, W. *Cell. Signalling* **2008**, *20*, 1927–1934.
- (8) Kouwer, P. H. J.; Swager, T. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 14042–14052.
- (9) Rudolph, J.; Chen, L.; Majumdar, D.; Bullock, W. H.; Burns, M.; Claus, T.; Dela Cruz, F. E.; Daly, M.; Ehrgott, F. J.; Johnson, J. S.; Livingston, J. N.; Schoenleber, R. W.; Shapiro, J.; Yang, L.; Tsutsumi, M.; Ma, X. *J. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *50*, 984–1000.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Model 5-6-5 Scaffold **4**



Scheme 1 depicts the synthetic route to a model derivative, 4-methyl-2-(2-methyl-4-(2-methyl-1*H*-imidazol-1-yl)phe-

Scheme 2. Synthesis of **12**



nyl) thiazole (**4**), with methyl groups in the key substituent positions. Treatment of commercially available **1** with phosphorus pentasulfide gave thioamide **2**, which after heating with chloroacetone furnished thiazole **3**.⁸ Ullman coupling with 2-methylimidazole gave **4** in excellent yield.⁹ A crystal structure of **4** (Figure 2) confirmed the extended




Figure 2. Crystal structure of **4** in stereoview.

shape of the molecule and the staggered projections in a nonplanar orientation (5-6-5 dihedral angles, 43.0° and 38.2°). The log *P* (o/w) of the corresponding trimethyl-substituted terphenyl,¹⁰ terpyridine^{2a} and **4** were calculated as 7.3, 3.4 and 2.3, respectively, suggesting a significant improvement in aqueous solubility of the 5-6-5 heterocyclic system, and this was seen with **12** and **19**, which were soluble to $\geq 500 \mu\text{M}$ in 2% DMSO/buffer.¹¹


Functionalization of the core scaffold with amino acid like side chains similar to those of Dbs involved modification of the synthetic route. Nucleophilic aromatic substitution of **5** with *tert*-butyl 3-hydroxypropylcarbamate (KHMDS in THF) gave **6**, which was coupled under Ullman conditions with methyl 3-(1*H*-imidazol-2-yl)propanoate to form **7** in excellent yield.⁸ Oxidation with (NH₄)₂S(aq) gave thioamide **8**, which on treatment with isopropyl chloroacetate formed **9**.⁹ Saponification of **9** followed by oxidation and deprotection gave **12** in high overall yield. The synthetic approach to **12** is flexible, allowing for the ready introduction of diverse side chains.

Compound **12** was assayed for its ability to disrupt the Cdc42–Dbs interaction using a gel pull-down assay that has identified inhibitors of other GTPase/GEF pairs.¹² However, **12** showed no detectable inhibitory activity, possibly due to the highly flexible side groups.

In an attempt to reduce the flexibility of the side chains in **12**, we introduced into a second generation inhibitor design a series of substituents based on constrained analogs of the

key functional groups. A benzimidazole diacid replaced the flexibly substituted imidazole, and a 2-substituted tolyl group was used in place of the isopropyl ether. Although it has a lower *pK_a*, 3-substituted aniline was used to rigidify the alkyl amine group in **12** with the expectation that the arylamine would still participate in hydrogen bonding to Cdc42 active site residues. The synthetic route (Scheme 3) involves

Scheme 3. Synthesis of **19**



nucleophilic aromatic substitution of 2-bromo-4-fluorobenzonitrile with **14** to give *N*-arylbenzimidazole **15**. Hantzsch conditions with 2-bromo-1-*o*-tolylethanone and thioamide **16** produced thiazole **17**, which was subjected to Suzuki coupling with 3-aminophenyl boronic acid to give, after deprotection, target **19**.^{9,13} Diacid **19**, in a nonplanar conformation, could match the positions of the *i*, *i* + 4, and *i* + 7 side chains in the key Dbs α -helix.

Mimetic **19** was assayed for its ability to disrupt the Cdc42–Dbs interaction using a mant-GDP fluorescence

(10) Orner, B. P.; Ernst, J. T.; Hamilton, A. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 5382–5383.

(11) MOE: *Molecular Operating Environment*; Chemical Computing Group: Montreal, 2007.

(12) Gao, Y.; Dickerson, J. B.; Guo, F.; Zheng, J.; Zheng, Y. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2004**, *101*, 7618–7623.

(13) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2457–2483.

(14) Hemsath, L.; Ahmadian, M. R. *Methods* **2005**, *37*, 173–182.

based activity assay, in which the uptake of this GDP analog into Cdc42 is monitored.¹⁴ Compound **19** was screened at varying concentrations with 100% inhibition being defined as the extent of mant-GDP uptake when no Dbs or inhibitor was present.

Dbs-accelerated uptake of mant-GDP by Cdc42 was inhibited in a dose–response fashion by **19** (Figure 3). Fitting




Figure 3. IC₅₀ of **19** against the Cdc42–Dbs interaction.

this data to a one-site binding model yielded an IC₅₀ of 67 μ M. To further validate that the binding site of **19** was in fact the Cdc42–Dbs interface, the ability of **19** to disrupt non-Dbs-assisted uptake of mant-GDP was monitored. At 50 μ M **19** less than 10% of mant-GDP uptake was blocked, indicating that the mechanism of action of this compound is not simply directly interfering with mant-GDP uptake by Cdc42.

In summary, we have generated a novel class of α -helix mimetics based on a 5-6-5 imidazole-phenyl-thiazole scaffold. X-ray crystallographic studies confirm the core structure, which has an α -carbon rmsd of 1.014 Å between **4** and the side chain positions on a polyalanine α -helix. In addition, to demonstrate the potential of our 5-6-5 imidazole-phenyl-thiazole scaffold to disrupt a biologically relevant protein–protein interaction, we have generated a mimic with rigidified side chains that is a 67 μ M inhibitor of the Cdc42–Dbs interaction. We are currently preparing a diverse set of rigidified mimetics and testing their affinity and specificity for Cdc42–Dbs, as well as other members of the Rho family of GTPases.

Acknowledgment. The authors thank the National Institutes of Health for financial support of this work (CA67771) and our collaborators Channing Der, Adrienne Cox, Jon Sondek (UNC), and Said Sebt (H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute) for invaluable discussions. We would also like to thank Dr. Steven Fletcher and Dr. Patrick Gunning for initial discussions and Dr. Christopher Incarvito for X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystallographic data, experimental procedures, characterizations of all compounds and assay protocols, This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

OL8022962